| Ignition Interlock 2014 1st Quarter Statistics January 1, 2014 thru March 31, 2014 | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----|----|------------------|---------------|------|----------|--|
| | | | | # IID Installed by Class | | | Payment Ordered | | | | |
| County/Agency | # Orders Rec'd. | IID Not Installed | Total % Not Installed | 1 | 2 | 3 | IID Installed | Full | Plan | Waive | |
| Albany Probation | 158 | 104 | 66% | 37 | 17 | 0 | 54 | 50 | 1 | 3 | |
| Albany STOP-DWI | | 1.0 | | | | | | | - | | |
| Allegany Probation | 14 | 12 | 86% | 0 | | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Broome Probation Broome District Att. | 24 83 | 21 39 | 88% 47% | 3 44 | 0 | 0 | 3 44 | 3 38 | 0 | <u>0</u> | |
| Cattaraugus Probation | 27 | 23 | 85% | 0 | | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | |
| Cayuga Probation | 10 | 10 | 0% | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Cayuga Counseling | 9 | 5 | 56% | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | |
| Chautauqua Probation | 22 | 18 | 82% | 0 | | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | |
| Chautauqua Sheriff | 27 12 | 20 | 74% | 0 | | 0 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0 | |
| Chemung Probation Chemung STOP-DWI | 38 | 12 25 | 100% 66% | 0 | | 0 | 13 | 0 12 | 0 | 0 | |
| Chenango Probation | 18 | 10 | 56% | 0 | | 0 | 8 | 6 | 1 | 1 | |
| Clinton Probation | 52 | 35 | 67% | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 8 | 8 | 1 | |
| Columbia Probation | 12 | 10 | 83% | 2 | | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Cortland Probation | 14 | 9 | 64% | 5 | | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | |
| Delaware Probation | 7 | 7 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Delaware Sheriff | 4. | 4.4 | 000/ | | | | | | | | |
| Dutchess Probation Dutchess DDP | 44 49 | 41 23 | 93% 47% | 0 | | 0 | 3 26 | 26 | 0 | 0 | |
| Erie Probation | 70 | 65 | 93% | 1 | 4 | 0 | <u></u> | | 0 | 0 | |
| Erie STOP-DWI | 142 | 92 | 65% | 50 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 49 | 1 | 0 | |
| Essex Probation | 15 | 12 | 80% | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | |
| Franklin Probation/STOP-DWI | 12 | 12 | 100% | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Fulton Probation | 15 | 14 | 93% | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| Genesee Probation | 27 21 | 21 13 | 78% 62% | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 1 | |
| Genesee Justice Greene Probation | 18 | 16 | 89% | 0 | | 0 | 2 | 5 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Greene Sheriff | 5 | 3 | 60% | 0 | | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Hamilton Probation | 1 | 0 | 0% | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| Herkimer Probation | 32 | 22 | 69% | 0 | | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | |
| Jefferson Probation | 45 | 26 | 58% | 11 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lewis Probation | 5 5 | 2 | 80% 40% | 0 | | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| Lewis District Att. Livingston Probation | 24 | 13 | 54% | 11 | 3 | 0 | 3 11 | 3 8 | 0 | 0 3 | |
| Madison Probation | 2 | 2 | 100% | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Madison District Att. | 9 | 9 | 100% | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Monroe Probation | 141 | 132 | 94% | 0 | _ | 0 | 9 | 9 | 0 | 0 | |
| Monroe TASC | 207 | 144 | 70% | 49 | 14 | 0 | 63 | 56 | 4 | 3 | |
| Montgomery Probation | 9 | · | 100% 33% | 0 | · | 0 | 0 | 0 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Montgomery District Att. Nassau Probation | 3 206 | | 90% | 0 | | 21 | 2 21 | 19 | 2 | 0 | |
| Nassau AIM | 200 | 100 | 3070 | U | | 21 | 21 | 13 | | U | |
| NYC Probation | 122 | 120 | 98% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| NYC Dist.Att. (Queens) | 562 | 467 | 83% | 45 | 50 | 0 | 95 | 93 | 0 | 2 | |
| Niagara Probation/Lockport PD | 66 | 47 | 71% | 9 | | 0 | 19 | 16 | 2 | 1 | |
| Oneida Probation | 103 70 | 80 | 78% 94% | 3 | | 0 | 23 4 | 19 4 | 0 | 4 | |
| Onondaga Probation Onondaga STOP-DWI | 70 | 66 48 | 61% | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | |
| Ontario Probation | 94 | 54 | 57% | 0 | | 0 | 40 | 33 | 6 | 1 | |
| Orange Probation | 134 | 97 | 72% | 5 | | 0 | 37 | 33 | 2 | 2 | |
| Orleans Probation | 14 | 12 | 86% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | |
| Oswego Probation | 40 | 35 | 88% | 0 | | 0 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | |
| Otsego Probation | 2 | 2 | 100% | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Otsego Catholic Charities Putnam Probation | 18 21 | 12 15 | 67% 71% | 6 5 | | 0 | 6 | <u>4</u> 5 | 2 | 0 | |
| Rensselaer Probation/STOP DWI | 51 | 29 | 57% | 0 | | 0 | 22 | 21 | 0 | 1 | |
| Rockland Probation | 52 | 32 | 62% | 0 | | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | |
| St Lawrence Probation | 14 | 14 | 100% | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| St Lawrence STOP-DWI | 17 | 11 | 65% | 2 | | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | |
| Saratoga Probation | 96 | 57 | 59% | 0 | | 0 | 39 | 37 | 0 | 2 | |
| Schenectady Probation Schoharie Probation | 40 10 | 23 7 | 58% 70% | 0 | | 0 | 17 3 | 15 2 | 0 | 2 1 | |
| Schoharie CASA | 10 | / | 70% | U | 3 | 0 | 3 | | U | 1 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Schuyler Probation | 18 | 15 | 83% | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------|------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| Seneca Probation | 22 | 6 | 27% | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 1 |
| Steuben Probation | 13 | 12 | 92% | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Steuben Sheriff | 32 | 17 | 53% | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 13 | 1 | 1 |
| Suffolk Probation | 553 | 465 | 84% | 0 | 0 | 88 | 88 | 84 | 0 | 4 |
| Sullivan Probation | 14 | 12 | 86% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Sullivan District Att. | 15 | 12 | 80% | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Tioga Probation | 7 | 5 | 71% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Tioga Sheriff | 15 | 10 | 67% | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Tompkins Probation/STOP-DWI | 35 | 18 | 51% | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 11 | 4 | 2 |
| Ulster Probation/STOP DWI | 61 | 43 | 70% | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 1 | 5 |
| Warren Probation | 14 | 14 | 100% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Warren District Att. | 16 | 11 | 69% | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Washington Probation | 10 | 8 | 80% | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Wayne Probation | 60 | 34 | 57% | 0 | 26 | 0 | 26 | 20 | 3 | 3 |
| Westchester Probation | 188 | 126 | 67% | 62 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 58 | 0 | 4 |
| Wyoming Probation | 14 | 10 | 71% | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| Yates Probation | 18 | 15 | 83% | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTALS | 4,344 | 3,282 | _ | 457 | 496 | 109 | 1,062 | 953 | 53 | 56 |
| Reports Rec'd | 78 | 75.6% | | 43.0% | 46.7% | 10.3% | | 89.7% | 5.0% | 5.3% |
| # Reports Expected | 78 | | • | Total | 1,062 | • | | · | · | · |
| % Reports Rec'd | 100.0% | | | Installed | 24.4% | | | | | |

County/Agency = The monitoring authority submitting the Quarterly Report. In some counties, both monitors report on the same form. # Orders Rec'd = The number of pre-sentence/sentencing orders requiring IID installation received by the monitoring authority. # IID Class = The OPCA Class of IID that is required to be installed by the offender.

- # Full Pay Units = The number of devices for which the offender was ordered to pay all IID fees.
- # Payment Plan Units = The number of devices for which the court ordered the offender to pay a portion of the IID fees.
 # Cost Waived = The number of devices for which the court ordered that IID fees be waived by the manufacturer.

The total number of all Payment Types Ordered may not match the total number of IID Class 1, 2, and 3 assignments because there may be multiple vehicles on one Order.